

**To:** Johnson, Alisha[Johnson.Alisha@epa.gov]; Garvin, Shawn[garvin.shawn@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Bloomgren, David[Bloomgren.David@epa.gov]  
**From:** Stanislaus, Mathy  
**Sent:** Mon 1/13/2014 5:41:22 PM  
**Subject:** Re: WVa

We're not going to be able to meet deadline @ 1 - folks are working this. I've asked folks to put together a note by 2. At this point - we don't think there's a EPA direct role but my understanding is the Reg 3 is having a discussion as we speak on reg issues.

---

**From:** Johnson, Alisha  
**Sent:** Monday, January 13, 2014 11:36:13 AM  
**To:** Garvin, Shawn; Stanislaus, Mathy  
**Cc:** Bloomgren, David  
**Subject:** FW: WVa

Shawn and Mathy,

Following up from this weekend, this is the question we are getting now from a number of outlets. As you can see, Politico plans to write shortly. Do we have any more guidance on what we can say here?

**From:** Erica Martinson [mailto:EMartinson@politico.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, January 13, 2014 11:32 AM  
**To:** Johnson, Alisha; Bloomgren, David  
**Subject:** WVa

Hey guys-

I wanted to check in on EPA's view of the chemical spill in W.Va. Where is the line here for state v. federal oversight? How does something like this fall through the cracks? Can you speak to the ways or reasons why this was not EPA's responsibility, legally speaking? And how does EPA's collection of chemicals information tie in here? What kind of information is the company required to report under TSCA, and was that followed? I'm on a short and hard deadline – 1pm.

Thanks,  
Erica

Erica Martinson

Energy & Environment Reporter

**POLITICO**

cell: 202-436-4234

@EricaMartinson